| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | M. PATRICIA THAYER (SBN 90818) pthayer@sidley.com AARON R. BLEHARSKI (SBN 240703) ableharski@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 SANDRA S. FUJIYAMA (SBN 198125) sfujiyama@sidley.com SAMUEL N. TIU (SBN 216291) stiu@sidley.com TASHICA T. WILLIAMS (SBN 256449) ttwilliams@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 896-6000 Attorneys for Plaintiff GENENTECH, INC. | ROBERT A. VAN NEST (SBN 84065) rvannest@kvn.com ASHOK RAMANI (SBN 200020) aramani@kvn.com NIKKI K. VO (SBN 239543) nvo@kvn.com SARA B. FAULKNER (SBN 263857) sfaulkner@kvn.com KEKER & VAN NEST LLP 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 |
|---|--|---|
| 13 | OLIVLIVILEII, IIVC. | |
| 14 | | S DICTRICT COLLET |
| 15 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 16 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | GENENTECH, INC., |) Case No: 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) |
| 19 | Plaintiff, |) SECOND JOINT STIPULATION AND |
| 20 | vs. | (PROPOSED) ORDER TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE FOR GENENTECH TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO THE COURT'S |
| 21 | THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF |) NOVEMBER 22 ORDER |
| 22 | PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, | Hearing Date: TBD |
| 23 | Defendant. |) Time: TBD) Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh |
| 24 | |)) |
| 25 | |) |
| 26 | |)) |
| 27 | |) |
| 28 | | <u> </u> |
| | | |

WHEREAS on December 6, 2010, plaintiff Genentech, Inc. ("Genentech") and defendant the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania ("the University") filed a Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order to Extend the Deadline for Genentech to File Objections to the Court's November 22 Order (Dkt. No. 45) to December 14, 2010; and

WHEREAS the parties had wanted the deadline extension to discuss whether there is a way to limit Genentech's production of regulatory materials, other than the BLA Submissions (as that term is used in the Court's November 22 Order), to avoid the production of irrelevant materials; and

WHEREAS, the parties are still addressing the proper scope for production of the regulatory materials and believe that an additional extension would benefit their discussion; and

WHEREAS, given the upcoming holidays, the parties expect that it may require additional time to work out a compromise; and

WHEREAS, if the parties are unable to reach agreement, Genentech may wish to file objections to the Court's Order;

THE PARTIES THEREFORE AGREE as follows:

1. The deadline for Genentech to object with respect to the following sentence in the Court's November 22, 2010 Order, now set for December 14, 2010, is extended to December 28, 2010:

In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than the BLA Submissions in Defendant's possession, custody or control that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so.

2. No other provision of the Court's November 22, 2010 Order is changed.

| 1 | SO STIPULATED: | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Respectfully submitted, | |
| 4 | Dated: December 14, 2010 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP | |
| 5 | Dated: December 14, 2010 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | By: /s/ M. PATRICIA THAYER | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff GENENTECH, INC. | |
| 10 | Dated: December 14, 2010 IRELL & MANELLA LLP | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | By: /s/ | |
| 13 | GARY N. FRISCHILING ¹ | |
| 14 | Attorneys for Defendants THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA. | |
| 15 | THE UNIVERSITT OF TENUSTEVANIA. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | Pursuant to General Order 45(X), the filer of this document hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of the | |
| 28 | document has been obtained from Gary N. Frischling. | |
| | | |

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The deadline for Genentech to object with respect to the following sentence in the Court's November 22, 2010 Order, now set for December 14, 2010, is extended to December 28, 2010: In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than the BLA Submissions in Defendant's possession, custody or control that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so. 2. No other provision of the Court's November 22, 2010 Order is changed. SO ORDERED. December 15, 2010 Dated: